

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

FRANK URIBE,)	Case No. CV 15-4571 MWF(JC)
)	
Petitioner,)	
)	ORDER DISMISSING ACTION
v.)	WITHOUT PREJUDICE
)	
WARDEN,)	
)	
Respondent.)	

On June 16, 2015, petitioner, a California state prisoner proceeding *pro se*, formally filed a Request for Extension which seeks an extension of time to file a federal petition for writ of habeas corpus. ("Extension Request").¹ For the reasons discussed herein, this action is dismissed without prejudice for lack of jurisdiction.

The exercise of federal jurisdiction under the Constitution depends on the existence of a case or controversy. United States National Bank of Oregon v. Independent Insurance Agents of America, Inc., 508 U.S. 439, 446 (1993); Johnson v. Weinberger, 851 F.2d 233, 235 (9th Cir. 1988) (Article III, Section 2 of the United States Constitution restricts adjudication in federal courts to cases and

¹The Extension Request appears to have been signed by petitioner on June 10, 2015, is postmarked on June 12, 2015, was received by the Clerk and lodged on June 15, 2015, and was formally filed on June 16, 2015.

1 controversies). A case or controversy exists when one party demonstrates that it
2 has suffered injury-in-fact which fairly can be traced to acts or omissions of the
3 second party and when there is a substantial likelihood that the relief requested will
4 redress the injury claimed. Johnson, 851 F.2d at 235.

5 A federal court lacks jurisdiction to consider the timeliness of a habeas
6 petition or to grant an extension to file such a petition unless and until such a
7 petition is actually filed because there otherwise is no case or controversy within
8 the meaning of Article III of the Constitution. See Thomas v. Ochoa, 2013 WL
9 610772, *2 (C.D. Cal. Feb. 15, 2013) (Court lacks jurisdiction to address
10 petitioner's request for extension of time to file federal petition for writ of habeas
11 corpus in the absence of the actual filing of such a petition because Constitution's
12 "case or controversy" requirement precludes granting request for such an advisory
13 opinion); Ford v. Warden, 2008 WL 2676842, *1 (C.D. Cal. July 7, 2008)
14 (dismissing without prejudice request for extension of time to file habeas corpus
15 petition because without an actual petition, there was no "case or controversy" as
16 required by the Constitution); United States v. Leon, 203 F.3d 162, 164 (2d Cir.
17 2000) (granting government motion to dismiss appeal from order denying motion
18 to submit out of time petition under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 because no case or
19 controversy in absence of filing of petition); Calderon v. Ashmus, 523 U.S. 740,
20 746-49 (1998) (no "case or controversy" where prisoners sought declaratory relief
21 to determine the time limits that would govern future habeas actions); see also
22 United States v. Cook, 795 F.2d 987, 994 (Fed. Cir. 1986) (in Fair Labor Standards
23 Act case, district court erred by tolling statute of limitations in advance of the filing
24 of potentially untimely claims).

25 Here, because petitioner has not actually filed a federal petition for writ of
26 habeas corpus, there is no action or proceeding pending and no case or controversy
27 to be heard. If petitioner actually files a petition for writ of habeas
28

1 corpus, then the Court may entertain an argument that such a petition is, or should
2 be deemed, timely. Unless and until petitioner does so, however, this Court lacks
3 jurisdiction to address the issue or to consider petitioner's Extension Request for
4 an extension of time to file a federal petition for writ of habeas corpus.


5 For the foregoing reasons, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this case is
6 dismissed without prejudice.

7 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk send petitioner a Form CV-69
8 (Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus by a Person in State Custody) to assist
9 petitioner in the event he elects to file a habeas petition in this Court.

10 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11 DATED: July 10, 2015

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28



HONORABLE MICHAEL W. FITZGERALD
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE